arXiv:0707.3495v2 [math.GR] 20 Oct 2008

DECOMPOSITION OF CARTAN MATRIX AND CONJECTURES ON BRAUER CHARACTER DEGREES

ZENG JIWEN

School of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, P. R. China.

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup of G. Let $J = J(F[N])$ denote the Jacboson radical of $F[N]$ and $I = \mathrm{Ann}(J) = \{\alpha \in F[G] | J\alpha = 0\}$. We have another algebra $F[G]/I$. We study the decomposition of Cartan matrix of $F[G]$ according to $F[G/N]$ and $F[G]/I$. This decomposition establishs some connections between Cartan invariants and chief composition factors of G. We find that existing zero-defect p-block in N depends on the properties of I in $F[G]$ or Cartan invariants. When we consider the Cartan invariants for a block algebra B of G, the decomposition is related to what kind of blocks in N covered by B. We mainly consider a block B of G which covers a block b of N with $l(b) = 1$. In two cases, we prove Willems' conjecture holds for these blocks, which covers some true cases by Holm and Willems. Furthermore We give an affirmative answer to a question by Holm and Willems in our cases. Some other results about Cartan invariants are presented in our paper.

1. Introduction

Let A be a Frobenius algebra and $J(A)$ be the Jacboson radical, then the relations between the Cartan matrix of A and that of $A/J(A)^i, i \geq 1$, is studied in [16]. Now suppose $A = F[G]$ is a group algebra for a finite group G, if N is a normal subgroup of G, we have known some results about relations between $F[G]$ and $F[G/N]$. For example, from the Alperin, Collins and Sibley[1], we can see some connections between Cartan matrix of $F[G]$ and that of $F[G/N]$. A result of Lusztig[2, P162, Lemma 4.26] also implies their connections. For a number of relations between projective modules of $F[G]$ and $F[G/N]$, see W. Willems[14].

When N is a normal subgroup of G, let I be the annihilator of the Jacobson radical $J(F[N])$ in $F[G]$. Then I will be an ideal of $F[G]$. In this paper we shall establish some relations among $F[G], F[G/N]$, and $F[G]/I$. One important result is the decomposition of Cartan matrix of G in terms of Cartan matrices of G/N and $F[G]/I$. As an application of this fact, we shall give connections between Cartan invariants and chief composition factors of G. We generalize similar results in [13].

When B is a p-block algebra of G, we find that the decomposition of Cartan matrix of B is heavily related to what kind of p-blocks of N covered by B. There are two cases that we have more advantage to study. One is a zero-defect block in N. Another is a block of N with only one irreducible Brauer character. So we shall discuss in what conditions B will cover a zero-defect p-block algebra of N. Our

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C20; Secondary 20C16.

Key words and phrases. Cartan Matrix, Block algebra, Brauer characters.

discussions produce some conditions of existing zero-defect p-block algebra for N in terms of I or Cartan invariants.

For a block B of G, let $k(B)$ and $l(B)$ denote the numbers of ordinary irreducible characters and irreducible Brauer characters of B, respectively. We mainly discuss the Cartan invariants of B if it covers a block b in N of $l(b) = 1$.

W. Willems and T. Holm [15][6] present several conjectures on Brauer character degrees. Although it is not easy to prove their conjectures in general, they show that there are several affirmative answers for these conjectures. In our cases, which cover some true cases proved by them, their conjectures are proved true in this paper.

Let p-block B of G has defect group D and Cartan matrix C, W. Willem and T. Holm present a question: is it true that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(C) \leq l(B)|D|?$$

We give affirmative answer for their question in our cases.

As application for our results, we also consider the bounds of Cartan invariants in terms of defect groups. The motivity comes from Brauer Problem VII[2, Ch. IV, §5]. We prove the Cartan invariants are bounded by the order of defect group in our cases.

An interesting work of Cartan matrix is studying its eigenvalue, see Wada's paper[3]. Here we present a similar result which generalize a result of [3][2, Ch. IV, Lemma 4.26].

Cartan matrix plays an key role in modular representation of finite groups, so we can find a lot of articles about Cartan matrix, see[4] [7] [11] .

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces two basic Lemmas; In section 3 we discuss relations among $F[G], F[N]$ and $F[G]/I$; Section 4 provides results about connections between block algebras of $F[G]$ and $F[G]/I$; Section 5 gives a decomposition of Cartan matrix of G in terms of Cartan matrices of $F[G/N]$ and $F[G]/I$; In section 6 we study Willems' conjecture on Brauer character degrees. In our cases we prove Willems' conjecture and give an affirmative answer to a Willems' question. Section 7 is the application of previous sections. We present some results on Cartan invariants.

In this paper, a G-module usually means a left G-module unless we give it under specified conditions. F is always a splitting field of character $p \neq 0$. For any two G-modules M, P, we use $(M, P)^G$ denote $\mathrm{Hom}_{F[G]}(M, P)$ in this article. We fix $A = F[G], J = J(F[N])$, the Jacobson radical of $F[N]$, and $I = \{\alpha \in F[G] | J\alpha = 0\}$. Some notations and basic results are refered to [16].

2. Lemmas

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $J = J(F[N])$ denote the Jacboson Radical of $F[N]$. We consider $J(F[N])$ and $F[N]$ as G-module by G-conjugate action on them. For any $g \in G$, since $gJ(F[N]) = J(F[N])g$, so $F[G]J(F[N])$ is a nilpotent ideal of $F[G]$, or $F[G]J(F[N]) \leq J(F[G])$. If S is a subset of $F[G]$, let

$$r(S) = \mathrm{Ann}_r(S) = \{a \in F[G] | Sa = 0\}$$

denote the right annihilater ideal decided by S.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $J = J(F[N])$ and let $r(J)$ denote the right annihilater ideal of J in $F[G]$, then $r(J) = r(F[G]J(F[N]))$. Thus $r(J)$ is an ideal of $F[G]$.*

Proof. $r(F[G]J(F[N])) \leq r(J)$ is easy to know. If $a \in r(J)$, then $Ja = 0$. Thus $F[G]J(F[N])a = 0$, which induces $a \in r(F[G]J(F[N]))$. □

Remark 2.2. In the following sections, we use I denote $r(J)$. According to Y. Tsushima[13], we can find an element c in the center of the group algebra $F[G]$ such that $I = F[G]c$. Hence $F[G]/F[G]J(F[N])$ is a symmetric algebra too.

Lemma 2.1 makes the following discussions reasonable.

If M is a right G-module, then we let $M^* = \mathrm{Hom}_F(M, F)$ denotes the dual module under usual way, so it is a left G-module. Now we let $\{P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_n\}$ denote a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of principal indecomposable right G-modules. Since $F[G]$ is a symmetric algebra, then $\{P_1^*, P_2^*, \cdots, P_n^*\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of principal indecomposable left G-modules when we consider $F[G]$ as a left regular G-module. We recall that the Cartan matrix (c_{ij}) decided by $\{P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_n\}$ is c_{ij} = the multiplicity of $\mathrm{Hd}(P_j)$ as a composition factor in P_i[16].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $S(M^*) = \{f \in M^* | J(F[N])f = 0\}$, then $S(M^*) = (M/MJ)^*$, where $J = J(F[N])$.*

Proof. We know that $S(M^*)$ is a G-submodule of M^*. If $f \in (M/MJ)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_F(M/MJ, F)$, then $f(MJ) = 0$, which means $Jf(M) = 0$, so $f \in S(M^*)$. Conversely, if $f \in S(M^*)$, then $f(MJ) = 0$ and $MJ \leq \mathrm{Ker}(f)$, which induces $f \in (M/MJ)^*$. □

It is easy to know that $\mathrm{Soc}(M^*) \leq S(M^*)$.

Remark 2.4. As usual way, we can define $U^\perp = \{f \in M^* | f(u) = 0, u \in U\}$, for $U \leq M$. Then we can prove that $S(M^*) = (MJ)^\perp$, so naturally we have $(MJ)^\perp = (M/MJ)^*$.

3. Relations among algebra $F[G], F[N]$ and $F[G]/I$

Let $A = F[G]$ and $I = r(J)$ as before. In this section, we study the the structure of the algebra A/I, and discuss the relations among $F[G], F[N]$, and A/I.

About the algebra $F[G]/I$, we have the following results to describe it.

Proposition 3.1. Let $A = F[G], N \trianglelefteq G, J = J(F[N]), I = r(J) = \{\alpha \in F[G] | J\alpha = 0\}$, and as left regular module, let $A = \bigoplus_P P$ be a decomposition of principal indecomposable modules of A , then $A/I = \bigoplus_P P/P \cap I$, where $P/P \cap I$ is principal indecomposable A/I-module if $P/P \cap I \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in I, \alpha = \sum_P \alpha_P, \alpha_P \in P$, Since $J\alpha = 0$, so $J\alpha_P = 0, \alpha_P \in P \cap I$. Thus $I = \bigoplus_P P \cap I$, which implies $A/I = \bigoplus_P P/P \cap I$.

In order to show that $P/P \cap I$ is indecomposable, we consider $\mathrm{Hd}(P/P \cap I)$ and prove it is a simple module. First we claim that $J(A/I) = (J(A) + I)/I$. This is because $A/(J(A) + I)$ is semi-simple, and if A/M is simple, where $I \leq M$, then $J(A) + I \leq M$. Thus we obtain $J(P/P \cap I) = (J(A)P + P \cap I)/P \cap I$, which shows $\mathrm{Hd}(P/P \cap I) = P/(J(A)P + P \cap I)$. Since $P/(J(A)P + P \cap I)$ is a factor module of $P/J(A)P$, we have $J(A)P + P \cap I = J(A)P$ or $J(A)P + P \cap I = P$. So $P \cap I \leq J(A)P$ or $P \cap I = P$ by Nakayama lemma. Hence we have $\mathrm{Hd}(P/P \cap I) = \mathrm{Hd}(P/J(A)P)$ if $P \cap I \neq P$. □

Remark 3.2. There is a primitive idempotent e such that $P = Ae$ when P is left indecomposable ideal of A , so we can write $P \cap I = IP = Ie$ and $P/P \cap I = Pe/Ie$.

The proof of the result above induces the following result which suggests the relations between projective modules of $F[G]$ and $F[G]/I$.

Corollary 3.3. With the same notations as above, let $E = \mathrm{Hd}(P/J(A)P)$ be a simple A-module. Then E is still a simple A/I module if and only if $P \cap I \neq P$. Furthermore, $E = \mathrm{Hd}(P/P \cap I)$. □

Remark 3.4. From this result, for a simple $F[G]/I$-module E, if P is the projective $F[G]$-cover of E, then $P/P \cap I$ is the projective $F[G]/I$-cover of E. A very natural question arises: What happens with E being not simple A/I-module when E is a simple G-module? We state the following result to answer this question.

Proposition 3.5. With the same notations as above, let $E = \mathrm{Hd}(P/J(A)P)$. Suppose E lie over a simple N-module U. Then $P \leq I$ if and only if U is a projective simple N-module.

Proof. If $P \leq I$, then $J(F[N])P = 0$, so $P_{\downarrow N}$ is a direct sum of projective simple N-modules. Since $E_{\downarrow N} = \mathrm{Soc}(P)_{\downarrow N} \leq P_{\downarrow N}$, $E_{\downarrow N} | P_{\downarrow N}$, so $U | P_{\downarrow N}$ and U is projective.

Conversely, let T is the inertial group of U in G. By Clifford theory, we have $E = F[G] \otimes_T \overline{U}$, where $\overline{U} = \sum_{t \in T} tU$. We can write $\overline{U} = \sum_{t \in T} tU = \sum_{t \in T/N} tU$ and $F[T] \otimes_N U = \oplus_{t \in T/N} t \otimes U$, then we set a map $f : F[T] \otimes_N U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ by $\sum_{t \in T/N} t \otimes u_t \mapsto \sum_{t \in T/N} tu_t$, which is a surjective T-homomorphism. Thus we get a surjective G-homomorphism

$$1 \otimes f : F[G] \otimes U \longrightarrow F[G] \otimes \overline{U} = E$$

Since U is projective N-module and P is the projective cover of E, then $P | F[G] \otimes U = U^{\uparrow G}$. $(U^{\uparrow G})_{\downarrow N} = \bigoplus_{g \in G/N} g \otimes U$ is the sum of projective simple N-modules, so is $P_{\downarrow N}$. Thus $J(F[N])P = 0, P \leq I$.

□

The result above tells us an important fact is : When P is a principal indecomposable module of G, then $P_{\downarrow N}$ is semi-simple if and only if $\mathrm{Hd}(P)$ lie over a projective simple N-module.

According to Proposition 3.1, there exists a principal indecomposable G-module P such that $P \leq I$ if and only if the number of classes of isomorphic simple A/I-modules is smaller than that of isomorphic simple G-modules, which is the dimension $\mathrm{Dim}_F Z(A/J(A))$ of the center $Z(A/J(A))$ of $A/J(A)$ when F is the splitting field of A. Hence we give the following two results, which offer conditions for existence of p-block of defect zero in $F[N]$.

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $A = F[G]$ and F is the splitting field of A. Let N be a normal subgroup of G, $J = J(F[N])$, and $I = \{\alpha \in F[G] | J\alpha = 0\}$. Then there not exist projective simple N-modules if and only if $I \leq J(A)$.

Proof. Notice that $J(A/I) = (I + J(A))/I$ and let $\overline{A} = A/I$, then

$$\mathrm{Dim}_F Z(A/(I + J(A))) = \mathrm{Dim}_F Z(\overline{A}/J(\overline{A})),$$

which is the number of classes of isomorphic simple A/I-modules. Since

$$\mathrm{Dim}_F Z(A/J(A)) = l(G)$$

when $A = F[G]$, the assertion holds. □

4. RELATIONS BETWEEN BLOCKS OF G AND $F[G]/I$

Now we are going to prove some results for block algebras of G and $F[G]/I$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let P_i, P_j be any two principal indecomposable modules belonging to the same p-block of G. Then $P_i \leq I$ if and only if $P_j \leq I$.*

Proof. Since any two principal indecomposable modules are linked [8] if they are in the same block, we only need to suppose $\mathrm{Hom}_{F[G]}(P_i, P_j) = (P_i, P_j)^G \neq 0$.

Let $E_i = \mathrm{Hd}(P_i), E_j = \mathrm{Hd}(P_j)$ and they are supposed to lie over simple N-modules U_i and U_j, respectively. By Proposition 3.5, it is sufficient to prove: if U_i is projective, then U_j is projective too.

$0 \neq (P_i, P_j)^G \leq (P_i, P_j)^N$ yields $(P_i, P_j)^N \neq 0$. In the proof of Proposition 3.5, we have proved $P_i|(U_i)^{\uparrow G}$, and consequently $(P_i)_{\downarrow N} = \oplus(g \otimes U_i)$, a direct sum of projective simple N-modules. Then

$$(P_i, P_j)^N = (\oplus(g \otimes U_i), \mathrm{Soc}((P_j)_{\downarrow N}))^N.$$

Next we claim that:

for a simple N-module U, $U|(E_j)_{\downarrow N}$ if and only if $U|\mathrm{Soc}((P_j)_{\downarrow N})$.

As $E_j = \mathrm{Soc}(P_j) \leq P_j$, $(E_j)_{\downarrow N} \leq \mathrm{Soc}((P_j)_{\downarrow N})$. If E is a simple G-module lie over a simple N-module U such that $U|\mathrm{Soc}((P_j)_{\downarrow N})$, then $E = \sum_{g\in G} gU \leq P_j$ and so $E = E_j$. Hence $U|(E_j)_{\downarrow N}$.

Hence $\mathrm{Soc}(P_j)_N$ and $\mathrm{Soc}\,((P_j)_N)$ are decomposed a sum of simple N-modules which are conjugate to the same simple N-module. Finally, since

$$0 \neq (P_i, P_j)^N = (\oplus(g \otimes U_i), \mathrm{Soc}((P_j)_{\downarrow N}))^N$$

so

$$(\oplus(g \otimes U_i), (E_j)_{\downarrow N})^N \neq 0$$

by the argument we claim above. Therefore there exist some $g, x \in G, g \otimes U_i \cong x \otimes U_j$. So U_j is projective as U_i is projective. □

Remark 4.2. By the result above, if a principal indecomposable module P is in a p-block algebra B, then $P \leq I$ if and only if $B \leq I$.

We consider relations between I and a block B of G, then the previous results Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 tell us that $B \leq I$ or $B \cap I = Ie_B \leq J(B) = BJ(F[G]) = J(F[G])e_B$, where e_B denote the block idempotent of B. For a block b of N, let $\mathrm{Bl}(G|b)$ denote all blocks of G which lie over b. Let $\mathrm{Bl}(N|0)$ denote all blocks of N of defect zero. Thus we have the following result to describe I.

Corollary 4.3. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and N_p denote the set of all p-elements of N. Let $c = \sum_{x\in N_p} x$. Then

$$I = F[G]c^2 \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{e_B \notin I} Ie_B).$$

In particular, $I \leq J(F[G])$ if and only if $c^2 = 0$.

Proof. By the previous results, we can write

$$I = (\bigoplus_{e_B \in I} F[G]e_B) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{e_B \notin I} Ie_B),$$

so we just need to prove $\bigoplus_{e_B\in I} F[G]e_B = F[G]c^2$.

Notice $e_B \in I$ if and only if B lie over a block b of N with defect zero. By Tsushima[12], c^2 is the sum of all block idempotents e_b in $F[N]$ with defect zero. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 &= \textstyle\sum_{b \in \mathrm{Bl}(N|0)} e_b \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{b_i} \sum_{b_i^t} e_{b_i}^t \\ &\quad \text{(where } b_i \text{ denote the representive of } G\text{-orbit of } \mathrm{Bl}(N|0)) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{b_i} \mathrm{Tr}_{T(b_i)}^G (e_{b_i}) \\ &\quad \text{(sum of some central idempotents in } G) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{b_i} \sum_{B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G|b_i)} e_B \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{B \leq I} e_B \end{aligned}$$

which implies our result.

□

If N is a p-solvable group, then we can prove $I = F[G]c$ from result in [2, Chp X,P422]. So $I \leq J(F[G])$ if and only if $c \in J(F[G])$. If N is not p-solvable group, the Corollary 4.3 still implies $I \leq J(F[G])$ if and only if $c \in J(F[G])$, as c^2 is an idempotent. Thus Corollary 4.3 is conformed with Corollary 3.6.

An immediate consequence of the results above is

Theorem 4.4. *Let $F[G] = A = \bigoplus_i B_i$ be a decomposition of p-block algebra of A. $\overline{B_i}$ denotes the image of B_i under the natural map:$A \to A/I$. Then*

1. *$\overline{B_i} = 0$ if and only if $(B_i)_{\downarrow N}$ is the sum of p-blocks of defect zero in $F[N]$.*
2. *if $\overline{B_i} \neq 0$, then the simple G-modules of B_i are also the simple A/I-modules of $\overline{B_i}$*
3. *$A/I = \bigoplus_i \overline{B_i}$, where $\overline{B_i} = 0$ or $B_i/B_i \cap I$ with $B_i \cap I \leq J(B_i)$.* □

5. An algebraic decomposition of Cartan matrix

In the following, we are going to give a relation among the Cartan matrices of $F[G]$, $F[G/N]$ and $F[G]/r(J(F[N]))$. Now let $C_G = (c_{ij})$ denote the Cartan matrix of G and $C_{\overline{G}} = (\overline{c_{ij}})$ denote the Cartan matrix of $F[G/N]$. For simple $F[G/N]$-module E_i, let $\overline{P_i}$ and P_i denote the projective $F[G/N]$–cover and $F[G]$-cover of E, respectively. Then by [8, Chapter 2, §11], we have $\overline{P_i} \cong P_i/P_iJ$ as $F[G]$-module, where $J = J(F[N])$.

To understand our proof in the following result, we need to know the basic fact: as Frobenius algebra, ${}_AA \cong (A_A)^*$, so if $\{P\}$ is right principle indecomposable module, then $\{P^*\}$ is left principle indecomposable module.

In order to make our discussion more clearly, We divide the set of all right principal indecomposable modules(up to isomorphisms) of the group G into two subsets: let

$$S_1 = \{P \mid \mathrm{Hd}(P) \text{ a simple module of } G/N\}$$

and

$$S_2 = \{P \mid \mathrm{Hd}(P) \text{ a simple module } \notin G/N\}.$$

We describe the difference between S_1 and S_2 as follows:

Proposition 5.1. Let $J = J(F[N])$ be the Jacoboson radical of $F[N]$ and A_N the augmentation ideal of $F[N]$. 1) If $P \in S_1$, then $PJ = PA_N$; 2) If $P \in S_2$, then $PA_N = P$.

Proof. (1) In fact it was proved in [8, Chapter 2, §11].

(2) Let $E = \mathrm{Hd}(P)$ for $P \in S_2$, then $E \notin G/N$. If $PA_N \neq P$, then $PA_N \leq PJ(F[G])$. Let $\bar{P} = P/PA_N$, then $\bar{P}$ is a G/N-module which has $\mathrm{Hd}(P)$ in G/N, a contradiction.

□

We let the Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ of $F[G]$ be decided by the set of right principal indecomposable modules:$P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij} &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_j, P_i)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_j)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_j^*, P_i^*)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i^*, P_j^*)^G, \end{aligned}$$

that is to say $c_{ij} = c_{ji} = c_{ij}^* = c_{ji}^*$[16]. Let $(\overline{c_{ij}})$ be the corresponding Cartan matrix of $F[G]/F[G]J$ decided by $\{P_i/P_iJ\}$, where $J = J(F[N])$. Then $\overline{c_{ij}} = \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_j/P_jJ, P_i/P_iJ)^G$. Since $F[G]/F[G]J$ is also a symmetric algebra, so $\overline{c_{ij}} = \overline{c_{ji}} = \overline{c_{ij}}^* = \overline{c_{ji}}^*$. The following result helps us to calculate $\overline{c_{ij}}^*$.

Lemma 5.2. *With the same notations as above, N is a normal subgroup of G. Let P is the right principal indecomposable module with head $\mathrm{Hd}(P)$ in $F[G]/F[G]J$, where $J = J(F[N])$. Then $(P/PJ)^* = P^*/JP^*$.*

Proof. We should notice both $(P/PJ)^*$ and P^*/JP^* are left principal indecomposable modules of $F[G]/F[G]J$. If we prove they have the same head, they are equal.

First we have $(\mathrm{Hd}(M))^* = \mathrm{Soc}(M^*), \mathrm{Hd}(M^*) = (\mathrm{Soc}(M))^*$ for any G-module M by duality. Hence $\mathrm{Hd}((P/PJ)^*) = (\mathrm{Soc}(P/PJ))^* = (\mathrm{Hd}(P/PJ))^* = (\mathrm{Hd}(P))^*$. On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{Hd}(P^*/JP^*) = \mathrm{Hd}(P^*) = (\mathrm{Soc}(P))^* = (\mathrm{Hd}(P))^*$. So they have the same head. □

Theorem 5.3. *With the same notations as above, let $J = J(F[N])$ and $I = r(J(F[N]))$. We use $\overline{C} = (\overline{c_{ij}})$ and $C_J = (x_{ij})$ to denote the Cartan matrix of $F[G/N]$ and $F[G]/I$, respectively. Then*

1. *If $P_i, P_j \in S_1$, then $c_{ij} = \overline{c_{ij}} + x_{ij}$*
2. *If $P_j \in S_1$ and $P_i \in S_2$, then $c_{ij} = x_{ij}$*
3. *If $P_i, P_j \in S_2$, then $c_{ij} = a_{ij} + x_{ij}$, where a_{ij} is the Cartan number of Algebra $F[G]/F[G]J$.*

Proof. **Case One:** For projective module P_j, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow P_jJ \longrightarrow P_j \longrightarrow P_j/P_jJ \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5.1}$$

so we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (P_i, P_jJ)^G \longrightarrow (P_i, P_j)^G \longrightarrow (P_i, P_j/P_jJ)^G \longrightarrow 0$$

as P_i is G-projective module. Thus

$$\mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_j)^G = \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_jJ)^G + \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_j/P_jJ)^G.$$

Since $\overline{P_i} \cong P_i/P_iJ$, so we got

$$c_{ij} = \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_jJ)^G + \overline{c_{ij}}.$$

Now for $\mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_jJ)^G$, first we know by [16]

$$\mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_jJ)^G = \mathrm{Dim}_F((P_jJ)^*, P_i^*)^G. \tag{5.2}$$

On the other hand, we have the following from (4.1)

$$0 \longrightarrow (P_j/P_jJ)^* \longrightarrow P_j^* \longrightarrow (P_jJ)^* \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.3}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have $S(P_j^*) = (P_j/P_jJ)^*$, then by (4.3) we get

$$(P_jJ)^* \cong P_j^*/S(P_j^*).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_F((P_jJ)^*, P_i^*)^G &= \dim_F(P_j^*/S(P_j^*), P_i^*)^G \\ &= \text{Multiplicity of } \mathrm{Soc}(P_i^*) \text{ as the composition factor in} \\ &\quad\; P_j^*/S(P_j^*) \\ &= \text{Multiplicity of } \mathrm{Hd}(P_i^*) \text{ as the composition factor in} \\ &\quad\; P_j^*/S(P_j^*) \\ &= x_{ji}^* \end{aligned}$$

Notice $P_j^* \cap I = S(P_j^*)$, then by (4.2), we have

$$c_{ij} = \overline{c_{ij}} + x_{ji}^*, \tag{5.4}$$

where (x_{ji}^*) is the left Cartan matrix of $F[G]/r(J)$, decided by

$$\{P_1^*/S(P_1^*), P_2^*/S(P_2^*), \cdots, P_n^*/S(P_n^*)\}.$$

Similarly we can get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij}^* &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i^*, JP_j^*)^G + \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i^*, P_j^*/JP_j^*)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F((JP_j^*)^*, P_i)^G + \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i^*/JP_i^*, P_j^*/JP_j^*)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F((JP_j^*)^*, P_i)^G + \overline{c_{ij}}^* \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2. Since $(JP^*)^* = P/I \cap P$ by the same arguments as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Dim}_F((JP_j^*)^*, P_i)^G &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_j/I \cap P_j, P_i)^G \\ &= \text{the number of } \mathrm{Soc}(P_i) \\ &\quad\; \text{as the composition factors of} P_j/I \cap P_j \\ &= \text{the number of } \mathrm{Hd}(P_i) \\ &\quad\; \text{as the composition factors of} P_j/I \cap P_j \\ &= \text{the number of } \mathrm{Hd}(P_i/I \cap P_i) \\ &\quad\; \text{as the composition factors of} P_j/I \cap P_j \\ &= x_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$c_{ij}^* = \overline{c_{ij}}^* + x_{ji}. \tag{5.5}$$

Since the Cartan matrices of $F[G]$ and $F[G/N]$ are dual and symmetric by [16], so $c_{ij} = \overline{c_{ij}} + x_{ij}$ by equality (4.4) and (4.5).

Case two: Let $P_i \in S_2, P_j \in S_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (P_i, P_j)^G &= (P_iA_N, P_j)^G \\ &= (P_i, P_jJ)^G \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as in Case one, we have $c_{ij} = x_{ji}^*$. Thus $c_{ij} = x_{ij}$ as c_{ij} is dual and symmetric.

Case three: Let $P_i, P_j \in S_2$. Then by the same reason as in Case one, we have

$$\mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_j)^G = \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_jJ)^G + \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_j/P_jJ)^G.$$

Let $a_{ij} = \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, P_j/P_jJ)^G = \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i/P_iJ, P_j/P_jJ)^G$, which is Cartan number from the symmetric algebra $F[G]/F[G]J$, so a_{ij} is dual and symmetric. The same arguments as before induces $c_{ij} = a_{ij} + x_{ij}$.

Then the assertion follows.

□

Consider two extreme examples for Theorem 4.3. If N is a p'-prime group, then all $x_{ij} = 0$. The Cartan numbers from S_2 tell nothing new by Theorem 4.3. If N is a p-group, then set S_2 is an empty set. Only Case one could happen.

We apply the results above to consider the Cartan matrix of a $p-$block algebra of G. If B is a p-block algebra of G covering a p-block algebra of N of defect zero, then B has no simple modules in $F[G]/I$ by Theorem 3.10. Hence all Cartan numbers x_{ij} of $F[G]/I$ related to the principal indecomposable modules in B will be zero. Furthermore, we know the Cartan matrix of a block algebra can not split into two unconnected parts, which shows that all principal indecomposable modules of B are in S_1 or all in S_2. So we have the following

Corollary 5.4. Let N be a normal subgroup of group G. Then B is a p-block algebra of G covering a zero-defect p-block of N if and only if the Cartan matrix of B is equal to that of image of B in $F[G/N]$ or that of image of B in $F[G]/F[G]J$, where $J = J(F[N])$.

Proof. The assumption must holds by the arguments above if B covers a zero-defect p-block of N. Conversely, if the Cartan matrix of B is equal to that of image of B in $F[G/N]$ or that of image of B in $F[G]/F[G]J$, we can find some principal indecomposable module P_i in B such that Cartan number $x_{ii} = 0$ of $F[G]/I$ corresponding to P_i. Thus $P_i \leq I$ and B covers a zero-defect p-block of N from Theorem 3.10. □

Remark 5.5. If N is p'-group and the image of B in $F[G/N]$ is not zero, it is well known that B and its image have the same irreducible characters. We generalize these results.

Notation: In the following, when we say a Cartan number c is corresponding to a simple module E, it means that c is the multiplicity of E as a composition factors in the projective cover of E.

Corollary 5.6. Let N be a normal subgroup of G and G/N is a p-group. Number c_{11} denote the Cartan number corresponding to the trivial G-module F. Then $c_{11} \geq |G/N|$ and the equality holds if and only if G is p-nilpotent and $N = O_{p'}(G)$.

Proof. Since G/N is a p-group, the set S_1 contains only one element P which is the projective cover of trivial G-module F. The Cartan number $\overline{c_{11}}$ in G/N corresponding to the trivial G/N-module is $|G/N|$, so $c_{11} \geq |G/N|$. The equality holds if and only if the principal p-block covers a defect-zero block in N, but this is equal to the principal p-block having only one irreducible Brauer character. □

In the following we discuss the relations between the composition factors and Cartan numbers for a group G. We will generalize a result in [13] about a lower bound for the first Cartan invariant c_{11} in terms of the chief composition factors of G.

Let the group G has a series of normal subgroups as following

$$1 = G_0 \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_n \trianglelefteq G_{n+1} = G.$$

Let k be the number of factors which are p-groups(non-trivial) in $G_i/G_{i-1}, i = 1, 2, ..., n+1$.

Theorem 5.7. *With the same notation as above, if E_j is a simple module of G/G_n, then the Cartan number $c_{jj} \geq k+1$, where c_{jj} is the Cartan number of G corresponding to E_j. In particular, if G/G_n is a p-group, then $c_{11} \geq |G/G_n|+k-1$.*

Proof. First, we should know E_j is also a simple G/G_i-module for $i = 0, 1, ..., n-1$, as G_i acts trivially on E_j.

When $i = 1$, we have $c_{jj} = c_{jj}^{(1)} + x_{jj}^{(1)}$ by Theorem 4.3, where $c_{jj}^{(1)}$ is the Cartan number of $F[G/G_1]$ corresponding to E_j and $x_{jj}^{(1)}$ is the Cartan number of $F[G]/I$ corresponding to $E_j, I = \{\alpha \in F[G] | J(F[G_1])\alpha = 0\}$.

Considering $c_{jj}^{(1)}$ in $F[G/G_1]$ and G_2/G_1 as normal subgroup of G/G_1, we have $c_{jj}^{(1)} = c_{jj}^{(2)} + x_{jj}^{(2)}$, where $c_{jj}^{(2)}$ is the Cartan number of $F[G/G_2]$ corresponding to E_j and $x_{jj}^{(2)}$ is the Cartan number of $F[G/G_1]/I_1, I_1 = \mathrm{Ann}_r J(F[G_2/G_1])$.

We continue in the same way as above, then we end in nth step:

$$c_{jj} = c_{jj}^{(n)} + x_{jj}^{(n)} + \cdots + x_{jj}^{(2)} + x_{jj}^{(1)},$$

where $c_{jj}^{(n)}$ is the Cartan number of G/G_n corresponding to E_j. Notice $x_{jj}^{(t)} \neq 0$ if G_t/G_{t-1} is a p-group. If G/G_n is a p-group, $c_{jj}^{(n)} \geq |G/G_n|$ by Corollary 4.6. This completes our proof. □

There is a block version to state Theorem 5.7. With the same series of normal subgroups of G as above, for a simple module E in G/G_n, we suppose $B^{(i)}$ is the p-block containing E in G/G_i. Assume p-block B of G contains the simple module E, then there are series of natural epimorphisms as follows:

$$B \to^{f_1} B^{(1)} \to^{f_2} B^{(2)} \to \cdots \to^{f_n} B^{(n)}$$

where f_i is the composition of natural map from G/G_{i-1} to G/G_i and the projective to $B^{(i)}$ from the image of $B^{(i-1)}$ in G/G_i. By Corollary 4.4, we know $B^{(i)}$ and $B^{(i+1)}$(Assume $B^{(0)} = B, i = 0, 1, ..., n-1$) have the same Cartan matrix if and only if $B^{(i)}$ covers a zero-defect p-block in $F[G_{i+1}/G_i]$. Let k be the number of p-blocks $B^{(i)}$ which covers non-zero-defect p-blocks, $i = 0, 1, ..., n-1$, then we have

Theorem 5.8. *Under the same notation as above, let c be the Cartan number corresponding to simple module E in G. If E belongs to a p-block $B^{(n)}$, then $c \geq k+1$.*

Proof. By the arguments above. □

6. Cartan invariants and dimensions of Brauer characters

For $N \trianglelefteq G$ and a p-block b in N, we let $\mathrm{Bl}(G|b)$ denote all blocks of G covering b. it is an important to find connections between them. In general case, it is not easy. If there exists defect zero blocks in N, we will have more advantages to study those blocks in G covering defect zero blocks in N. In this section we consider some p-blocks of G which cover a p-block b with only one Brauer irreducible character in N for $N \trianglelefteq G$. We obtain some results about Cartan invariants and degrees of Brauer characters of B. In [15] and [6], T. Holms and W. Willems present several conjectures on Brauer character degrees. Some true cases are proved by them. Here we show some more general true cases covering their special cases.Furthermore in some cases, we give affirmative answers to a question presented by T. Holms and W. Willems in [6].

If E_i denote a simple G-module covering a simple N-module V, by Clifford Theorem we have as N-module:

$$E_i = e_i \bigoplus_{t \in G/T_V} V^t,$$

where e_i is the ramification coefficient of E_i, T_V is the initial group of V, and V^t is a conjugate module of V.

Now let P_i is the projective cover of E_i. As N-module, P_i or its character form has a similar decomposition. See W. Feit [2, Lemma 1.3, Ch. VI], H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima[9, P389, Problem 10], and Navarro[10, Corollary 8.8, VIII]. Here we will improve their result with a new proof. Let P_V denote the projective cover of $V \in \mathrm{IBr}(N)$, then P_V^t is a conjugate module of P_V for $t \in G$ and projective cover of V^t.

Theorem 6.1. *With the same notation as above, if*

$$E_i = e_i \bigoplus_{t \in G/T_V} V^t,$$

then

$$P_i = a_i \bigoplus_{t \in G/T_V} P_V^t,$$

where $a_i \geq e_i$, the equality holds if and only if E_i is N-projective.

Proof. By Frobenius-Nakayama-reciprocity theorem, there is

$$P_V^G = \bigoplus_i e_i P_i.$$

so $(P_i)_N | (P_V^G)_N = \bigoplus_{t \in G/N} P_V^t$. Since all $P_V^t, t \in G$ are projective indecomposable (as projective cover of V^t), so we have $(P_i)_N = \bigoplus_t P_V^t$ and $\mathrm{Hd}((P_i)_N) = \bigoplus_t \mathrm{Hd}(P_V^t)$.

Now as G-module, it holds $P_i J(F[N]) \leq P_i J(F[G])$, so we have exact series:

$$0 \longrightarrow P_i J(F[G])/P_i J(F[N]) \longrightarrow P_i/P_i J(F[N]) \longrightarrow P_i/P_i J(F[G]) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As N-modules, the exact series above is split since $P_i/P_i J(F[N])$ is completely reducible. Thus

$$(\mathrm{Hd}(P_i))_N = (P_i/P_i J(F[G]))_N | (P_i/P_i J(F[N]))_N = \mathrm{Hd}((P_i)_N).$$

As $E_i = \mathrm{Hd}(P_i)$, It follows that

$$(P_i)_N = \bigoplus_{t \in G/T_V} a_t P_V^t, a_t \geq e_i.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} a_t &= \mathrm{Dim}_F((P_i)_N, V^t)^N \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, (V^t)^G)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F(P_i, V^G)^G \\ &= \mathrm{Dim}_F((P_i)_N, V)^N \\ &= a_1 \end{aligned}$$

so let $a_i = a_t$ which only depends P_i. Comparing e_i and a_i, the arguments above tell us that $a_i = e_i$ if and only if $P_iJ(F[G]) = P_iJ(F[N])$, which is equivalent to that E_i is N-projective[2, Lemma 2.1, Ch. VI]. Our proof is finished. □

In order to understand Willems' conjecture, let $\{\varphi_i | i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)\} = \mathrm{IBr}(B)$ and $\Phi_i, i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)$ is the Brauer character of principal indecomposable module corresponding to φ_i. We denote defect group of block B by D_B. The number e_i and a_i, appeared in Theorem 6.1, are the ramification coefficient of φ_i and Φ_i, respectively.

Corollary 6.2. With the same notations as above, Let N be a normal subgroup of G and $B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G|b)$ for $b \in \mathrm{Bl}(N)$. Let $l(b) = 1$, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{Dim}_F B}{|D_b|} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)^2,$$

the equality holds if and only if $D_B \leq N$. In particular, if B has a normal defect subgroup of G, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{Dim}_F B}{|D_B|} = \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)^2,$$

Proof. By our condition, we suppose that $\mathrm{IBr}(b) = \theta$ and Ψ is the only Brauer character offered by the principal indecomposable module of b. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Dim}_F(B) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)\Phi_i(1) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)a_i|G/T_\theta|\Psi(1) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)a_i|G/T_\theta||D_b|\theta(1) \\ &\geq \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)^2|D_b|. \end{aligned}$$

The equality above holds if and only if all $a_i = e_i, i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)$, which is equivalent to $D_B \leq N$ by [2, Theorem 2.3, Ch. VI], or $D_B = D_b$. □

By Corollary 6.2, if B covers a block b of N with $l(b) = 1$ and $D_B \leq N$, then Willems' local conjecture holds. In particular, his conjecture holds for a block B with a normal defect subgroup.

Without the condition $l(b) = 1$, the following result present another true case for Willems' local conjecture. In fact, Willems' conjecture holds for p-solvable groups by Holm and Willems[6]. We prove the conjecture in a weaker condition: there exists a normal and p-solvable subgroup N containing the defect group D_B of block B. We suppose that φ_i lie over $\theta_i \in \mathrm{IBr}(b)$ and that Ψ_i denotes the projective Brauer character corresponding to θ_i.

Corollary 6.3. With the same notations as above, for a normal subgroup N and $B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G|b), b \in \mathrm{Bl}(N)$, if defect group $D_B \leq N$ and N is p-solvable group, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{Dim}_F(B)}{|D_B|} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)^2,$$

so Willems' local conjecture holds for these blocks B.

Proof. First notice $D_b = D_B$ from $D_B \leq N$. By our condition, all $e_i = a_i, i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathrm{Dim}_F(B) & = & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)\Phi_i(1) \\
& = & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)a_i|G/T_{\theta_i}|\Psi_i(1) \\
& = & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)a_i|G/T_{\theta_i}||N|_p\theta_i(1)_{p'} \\
& & \text{(By [2, Theorem 3.2, Ch. X])} \\
& \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)a_i|G/T_{\theta_i}||D_b|\theta_i(1) \\
& & (\text{as } |N|_p \leq |D_b|\theta_i(1)_p) \\
& = & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1)^2|D_B|
\end{array}$$

which induces our result. □

Let C denote the Cartan matrix of a p- block B, with defect group D. There is a question from T. Holm and W. Willems[6]:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(C) \leq l(B)|D|?$$

The question is important as it implies Willems' conjecture. We give affirmative answer in some cases for their question. we keep to use the notations as before.

Lemma 6.4. *For a normal subgroup N of G and $b \in \mathrm{Bl}(N)$, suppose $B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G|b)$, with Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})$. Let D_B and D_b denote the defect groups of B and b, respectively. If $l(b) = 1$, then*

(1)

$$c_{ii} \leq \frac{a_i}{e_i}|D_b|, c_{ij} \leq \frac{a_i}{e_j}|D_b|.$$

(2) *Furthermore if the simple module E_i affording φ_i is N-projective, then*

$$c_{ii} \leq |D_b|.$$

Proof. Let $\mathrm{IBr}(b) = \{\theta\}$ and Ψ denote the projective Brauer character corresponding to θ. Since

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\Phi_i(1) & = & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} c_{ij}\varphi_j(1) \\
& = & \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} c_{ij}e_j|G/T_\theta|\theta(1) \\
& = & a_i|G/T_\theta|\Psi(1) \\
& = & a_i|G/T_\theta||D_b|\theta(1),
\end{array}$$

then we get

$$|D_b|a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l(B)} c_{ij}e_j \geq c_{ij}e_j.$$

which induces (1).

When E_i is N-projective, then $a_i = e_i$. So we get (2). □

The following result gives affirmative answers to Wellems' question in some cases.

Theorem 6.5. *For $N \trianglelefteq G$, suppose p-block B has a defect group D_B and covers a p-block b in N. If $l(b) = 1$ and $D_B \leq N$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(C) \leq l(B)|D_B|.$$

In particular, if B has a normal defect group D_B, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}(C) \leq l(B)|D_B|.$$

Proof. By our condition, all $a_i = e_i, i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)$ and $D_B = D_b$, defect group of b, so our result follows from Lemma 6.4.

□

Since T. Holm and W. Willems[6] has proved that

$$\frac{\mathrm{Dim}_F(B)}{\mathrm{Tr}(C)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l(B)} \varphi_i(1).$$

Thus by Theorem 6.5 we show again that their conjecture holds for a block B, if it covers a block b in N, with $l(b) = 1$ and $D_B \leq N$, or if B has a normal defect group, as proved by Corollary 6.2.

7. Some applications for our results

In this section, we further consider applications for our results in the Sections before, Specially when N is a normal p-subgroup of G. For example, Brauer Problem VII [2, Ch. IV, §5]is about bounds of Cartan invariants in terms of defect groups. If G is p-solvable, the upper bound is the order of defect group by Fong [2, Ch. X, §4]. Under our condition, we will give some bounds for Cartan invariants. These results can help us understand the connection between the group invariants of G and algebraic invariants of $F[G]$. We keep the same notation as before.

The proposition 3.1 will be improved with the new condition. The part 1 in the following result can be obtained directly from [13], but we prove it here in a simple way.

Proposition 7.1. Let $A = F[G]$ and N be a normal p-subgroup of G. Then:

1. Let $\widehat{N} = \sum_{x \in N} x \in Z(A)$, the center of A, then
$$I = \{\alpha = \sum_{y \in G/N} a_y \widehat{N} y, a_y \in F\} = A\widehat{N},$$
an ideal of A generated by $\widehat{N}$.
2. $I^2 = 0$, and $\mathrm{Soc}(G) \leq I \leq J(A)$, where $\mathrm{Soc}(G)$ is the socle of A
3. If $A = \bigoplus_i P_i$, a decomposition of principal indecomposable module of A, then $A/I = \bigoplus_i P_i/P_i \cap I$, a similar decomposition of A/I with the same number of summands as in A.

Proof. Notice $I = r(J(F[N])) = \{\alpha \in F[G] | J(F[N])\alpha = 0\}$, and $J(F[N]) = \langle x - 1 | g \in N\rangle$, an ideal of $F[N]$ generated by $x - 1, x \in N$. Let $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in I$, then for any $x \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x-1)\alpha &= (x-1)\textstyle\sum_g a_g g \\ &= \textstyle\sum_g a_g xg - \sum_g a_g g \\ &= \textstyle\sum_y (a_{x^{-1}y} - a_y) y \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a_{x^{-1}y} = a_y$, for any $x \in N, y \in G$. Assertion 1 follows.

Notice $\widehat{N}^2 = |N|\widehat{N} = 0$, Hence $I^2 = 0, I \leq J(A)$ by assertion 1. Since $J(F[N])\mathrm{Soc}(G) \leq J(A)\mathrm{Soc}(G) = 0$, $\mathrm{Soc}(G) \leq I$. Assertions 2 follows.

Since we have $J(A/I) = J(A)/I$ by Proposition 3.1 and assertion 2, thus

$$\mathrm{Hd}(A/I) = \mathrm{Hd}(A) = A/J(A),$$

so there is no P_i such that $P_i \cap I = P_i$. Assertion 3 follows. □

On the bounds of Cartan invariants, we have following results:

Corollary 7.2. If simple module E_i has a normal vertex N, then

$$c_{ii} \leq |N|$$

Proof. Easy to see by Lemma 6.4. □

Theorem 7.3. *For $N \lhd G$ and $b \in \mathrm{Bl}(N)$, suppose $B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G|b)$, with a defect group D_B. If $l(b) = 1$ and $D_B \leq N$, then*

$$c_{ij} \leq |D_B|, i, j = 1, 2, ..., l(B).$$

In particular, if D_B is a normal defect group of G, then

$$c_{ij} \leq |D_B|, i, j = 1, 2, ..., l(B).$$

Proof. According to Lemma 6.4, we have

$$c_{ii} \leq |D_B|, i = 1, 2, ..., l(B).$$

Hence

$$c_{ij} \leq \sqrt{c_{ii}c_{jj}} \leq |D_B|,$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq l(B)$. □

Applying Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 7.2, we give a result about a series of normal subgroups of G.

Corollary 7.4. Suppose we have a series of normal subgroup of G:

$$1 = G_0 \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_n \trianglelefteq G_{n+1} = G.$$

Let k be the number of factors which are p-groups(non-trivial) in $G_i/G_{i-1}, i = 1, 2, ..., n+1$. If E_i is a simple G/G_n-module and has a normal vertex N, then $k + 1 \leq c_{ii} \leq |N|$.

Proof. Easy to see by Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 7.2.

□

We obtain a result about eigenvalues of Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ of B, which generalize a result when D_B is a normal defect group. [3][2, Ch. IV, §4.26].

Theorem 7.5. *For $N \lhd G$ and $b \in \mathrm{Bl}(N)$, suppose $B \in \mathrm{Bl}(G|b)$, with defect group D_B. If $l(b) = 1$ and $D_B \leq N$, then $|D_B|$ is an eigenvalue of Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ of B with an eigenvector*

$$(e_1, e_2, ..., e_{l(B)}).$$

In particular, the conclusion holds for a p-block B with a normal defect group and the eigenvector is

$$(\varphi_1(1), \varphi_2(1), ..., \varphi_{l(B)}(1)).$$

Proof. As proof in Lemma 6.4, we get

$$|D_b|a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l(B)} c_{ij}e_j.$$

Notice all $a_i = e_i, i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)$, and $D_b = D_B$ under our condition. So

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_{l(B)} \end{pmatrix} |D_B| = (c_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_{l(B)} \end{pmatrix}$$

by which the assertion follows. When $N = D_B \lhd G$, all $e_i = \varphi_i(1), i = 1, 2, ..., l(B)$. □

Acknowledgement. The author was kindly supported by GZ 301 of Sino-Germany Academic Exchange Center visiting Jena University for one month. Visiting and talking with Professor B. Kulshammer helped the author greatly in writing this paper, so the author thanks Professor B. Kulshammer and Jena University for their hospitality.

References

1. J. L. Alperin, M. Collins and D. Sibley, *Projective modules, filtrations, and Cartan invariants,* Bull. L. M. S., Vol16(1984), 416-420
2. W. Feit, *The representation theory of finite groups,* North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1980
3. M. Kiyota, M. Murai and T. Wada, *Rationality of eigenvalues of Cartan matrices in finite groups*, J. Algebra, 249(2002), 110-119
4. Laszlo Hethelyi, Erzsebet Horvath, Burkhard Kulshammer, John Murray, *Central ideals and Cartan invariants of symmetric algebras,* J. Algebra, 296(2006), 177-195
5. Burkhard Kulshammer, *Group-theoretical descriptions of ring-theoretical invariants of group algebras,* Progress in mathematics, Vol.95(1991), 425-442
6. Thorsten Holm and Wolfgang Willems, *A local conjecture on Brauer character degrees of finite groups,* Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 359(2007), 591-603
7. R. Knorr and G. Robinson, *Some remarks on a conjecture of Alperin,* J. London Math. Soc. (2)39(1989), 48-60
8. P. Landrock, *Finite group algebras and their modules,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983
9. Hirosi Nagao and Yukio Tsushima, *Representations of finite groups,* Academic Press, INC., 1989
10. G. Navarro, *Characters and blocks of finite groups,* L. M. S. Lecture Note Series 250, Cambridge University Press, 1998
11. Shigeo Koshitani, *Cartan invariants of group algebra of finite groups,* Proceedings of the AMS, 124(8)(1996), 2319-2323
12. Y. Tsushima, *On the block of defect zero,* Nagoya Math. J. 44(1971), 57-59
13. Y. Tsushima, *On the annihilator ideals of the radical of a group algebra,* Osaka J. Math. 8(1971), 91-97
14. W. Von Willems, *On the projectives of a group algebra,* Math. Z.171(1980), 163-174
15. W. Willems, *On degrees of irreducible Brauer characters*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., Vol. 357(2005), 2379-2387
16. Zeng Jiwen, *Some results on the Cartan matrix of a Frobenius Algebra,* Communications in algebra, 24(14)(1996), 4385-4396

School of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, P. R. China
Current address: School of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, P. R. China
E-mail address: `jwzeng@xmu.edu.cn`